Appendix 2 – Representations Received

Concerinng application for HMO, 15 University Avenue, BT& 1GX

Objection on the grounds of Antisocial behaviour, Also on the grounds of breach of the 30% rule regarding proportion of HMOs in a given area, if appropriate.

I'm forwarding the above, just in case you forget to take it into account now that No 15 University Avenue is up for renewal or possibly it is a new application?.

Since the time described in my September email, there have been other serious incidents of antisocial behaviour involving loud music and crowds of people shouting, flocking in and out of the house well into the early hours. On one occasion it was 5 am before very loud music and shouting stopped. It started again the next day about 3.00 pm. I was minding my grand daughter on that day and had to be up at 6.30 am. I was exhausted and in despair.

I would be glad if some action could be taken to ensure that this house is no longer such a source of grief to the neighbourhood.

This objection is on the grounds of antisocial behaviour

If the application is a new one, then

This objection is also on ground relating to the excessive proportion of HMOs in the area, putting it in breach of the 30% rule.

Yours Sincerely

Dr. May McCann

Dear Sir or Madam

It has been brought to my attention that there may be a renewal for HMO status pending for 15 University Avenue. I would like to make the point that during last month there were many occasions when myself and other neighbours have had to speak to the young people living there about the volume of their music from early afternoon till late, and also on one occasion, a very noisy party, spilling into the street and making a lot of noise there. The last of five taxis did not arrive until 1.00 am and young people were sitting on the low wall of an adjacent property, drinking and shouting.

I do not know who is the owner, nor who was the actual tenant, I only spoke to a young man among those around the door.

I had thought to speak to the relevant authorities in the university, but the instances were somewhat intermittent and in between times there seemed to be no one there.

There have not been any recent incidents, so I am hoping that these people were not the actual tenants for the coming university year. It is not unusual for people to sub-let or simply lend their accommodation to others during the summer break. This is just speculation on my part, but I am hoping

This seemed like an appropriate occasion on which to raise this issue so that the matter might be 'nipped in the bud'..

Yours Sincerely,

Dr. May McCann

Dear Colleague

I wish to object to the granting of an HMO licence (renewal) to this property.

This property has been the source of anti social behaviour for several years now and this persists in spite of constant complaints from neighbours.

I live a street away from the property and can hear the noise of loud partying emanating from there at all hours of the morning.

I object on the grounds of persistence and continual ASB from the property. Checks with University and PSNI should verify these incidents.

I do not know the owner Mr Gray so know nothing of his character. But I am not impressed by the fact that he fails to ensure proper behaviour of his tenants.

This part of the Holyland is a quiet residential area populated by a solid core of long term settled residents ranging from Seniors to newborns who are respectful to the area.

We have massively regenerated it through development of Wildflower Alley and a Residents Parking Scheme. We welcome all neighbours who support this.

We do not welcome those who carry out acts of anti social behaviour through disrespect of neighbours and loud partying throughout the night.

We do not welcome landlords who fail to control tenancies.

I wish to strongly object to renewal of this HMO licence for these reasons.

Sincerely,

Bríd Ruddy

Dear Sir or Madam

I am writing to object to the renewal of the HMO status of 9 & 15 University Ave, who I understand are owned by the same person, even though the advertising for the renewals were advertised separately and even in different newspapers.

The area in which these HMO's are part of is a residential area where there is already well over the 30% of HMO's. This is excessive and having a negative impact on the local community. To approve such requests would clearly be going against current protocol.

15 University Ave is the nearest to my property and there is no doubt that there have been elements of anti social behaviour including parties and loud music being playing into the early hours of the morning. This is not acceptable and not only has a negative impact on my young family but also the surrounding neighbourhood.

Thank you for considering my objection.

Damian Wharton